

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,702	07/14/2003	James Baich	87359.1960 . 3730	
7590 03/01/2005			EXAMINER	
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			BOCHNA, DAVID	
Washington Square Suite 1100			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	ut Avenue, N.W.	•	3679 DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Advisory Action	10/617,702	BAICH ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E. Bochna	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered	because			
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Albertan and Albertan Albertan	NI-4'				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal Will <u>residence</u>	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered afte	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the arguments are not persuasive.			ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)  13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	David E. Bochna Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3679				